

Proposal Title :	Parramatta Plan	ning Proposal	- 11 to 13 Aird Street, Parr	amatta
Proposal Summary) increase the maximum b d Street, Parramatta.	uilding height and floor space ratio
PP Number :	PP_2015_PARR/	A_010_00	Dop File No :	15/16900
oposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received	24-Nov-2015		LGA covered :	Parramatta
Region :	Metro(Parra)		RPA :	Parramatta City Council
State Electorate :	PARRAMATTA		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
ocation Details				
Street :	11 to 13 Aird Street			
Suburb :	Parramatta	City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2150
Land Parcel :				
Street :				
Suburb :		City:		Postcode :
Land Parcel :	Lot 101 DP709151			
DoP Planning O	fficer Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Lillian Charleswo	th		
Contact Number :	0298601510			
Contact Email :	Lillian.Charleswo	rth@planning.r	isw.gov.au	
RPA Contact De	tails			
Contact Name :	Claire Downie			
Contact Number :	0298065789			
Contact Email :	CDownie@parrac	ity.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Mar	nager Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Terry Doran			
Contact Number :	0298601579			
Contact Email :	Terry.Doran@plar	ning.nsw.dov	au	

Parramatta Planning Proposal - 11 to 13 Aird Street, Parramatta

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	*
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro West Central subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	98
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The site is currently occupied by Westfield Parramatta.	a five storey commercial bui	lding that directly adjoins
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
ls a statement of the ob	iectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The proposal seeks to increase controls in order to enable red	-	
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)		
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The proposal will amend the m 2011, in order to: - increase the maximum height with a 15% design excellence - increase the maximum FSR fr bonus).	t of buildings from 36m to 10 bonus); and	2m (i.e. 117m or 39 storeys

Parramatta Planning Proposal - 11 to 13 Aird Street, Parramatta

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to be considered : The proposal is consistent (or justifiably inconsistent) with all State Environmental Planning Policies and section 117 Directions.

S117 DIRECTION 1.1 BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONES

As the proposal will retain the existing B4 Mixed Use Zone, it will not reduce the total potential floor space area for employment uses and related public services in business zones and is therefore consistent with this Direction.

S117 DIRECTION 2.3 HERITAGE CONSERVATION

The site is located in close proximity to St John's Cemetery, a State listed heritage item. A Heritage Statement has been prepared by Archnex Designs that concludes that the proposed tower development will not have any overshadowing impacts on the cemetery and that it will form part of a backdrop of CBD towers that support Parramatta's role as Sydney's second CBD. Council's Heritage Advisor raised no objection to the proposal. It is recommended that the Heritage Division of the Office of Environment and Heritage be consulted during the exhibition period.

RELATIONSHIP TO OLD GOVERNMENT HOUSE

The site does not lie within the Highly Sensitive Area with regard to Old Government House. It sits within Precinct 7 City Edges of the 2012 Technical Report prepared by Planisphere. Desirable future development guidelines for this Precinct are intended to apply at development application stage.

The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction.

S117 DIRECTION 3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES

The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction as it will enhance housing choice and make efficient use of existing infrastructure and services.

S117 DIRECTION 3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT

The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction as it will improve access to housing, jobs and services by walking and public transport as it is located 460m from Parramatta Train Station and adjoins Westfield Parramatta.

S117 DIRECTION 4.1 ACID SULPHATE SOILS

Review of Council's Acid Sulphate Soils mapping indicates that the site is affected by acid sulphate soils. Table 2 on page 13 of the planning proposal should be amended to reflect this.

The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as an acid sulphate soils study, required when an intensification of land uses is proposed, has not been prepared. This inconsistency is considered to be justified on the basis of minor significance given that: (a) the affectation is by class 5 acid sulphate soils; and

(b) the matter will be further considered at development application stage under clause 6.1 - Acid Sulfate Soils of Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2011.

	S117 DIRECTION 7.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY The proposal is consistent with the intention of the Plan to grow Parramatta as Sydney's second CBD. The proposal will increase housing supply and choice in a location accessible to employment and well serviced by public transport, health and retail facilities.
Have inconsistencies w	ith items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Y	/es
Comment :	The draft maps provided are sufficient for public consultation purposes, although Figure 8 should be amended to include street names.
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consult	ation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	The planning proposal indicates that consultation is likely to include a newspaper advertisement, display on Council's website and written notification to adjoining land owners.
Additional Director	General's requirements
Are there any additional	L Director (Ceneral's requirements? No
	I Director General's requirements? No
Are there any additiona If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of	
If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal mee If No, comment :	
If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal mee If No, comment : Doposal Assessment	the proposal
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Oposal Assessment Principal LEP:	the proposal t the adequacy criteria? Yes
If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal mee If No, comment : Dposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : October 20	t the adequacy criteria? Yes
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Oposal Assessment Principal LEP:	the proposal t the adequacy criteria? Yes
If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal mee If No, comment : Dposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : October 20 Comments in relation	t the adequacy criteria? Yes 11 The proposal seeks to amend Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2011.
If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Doposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : October 20 Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria	t the adequacy criteria? Yes
If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Dposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : October 20 Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	t the adequacy criteria? Yes 11 The proposal seeks to amend Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2011.
If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Doposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : October 20 Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning	The proposal Interpretation Interpre
If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal mee If No, comment : Doposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : October 20 Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning proposal : Consistency with strategic planning	The proposal is the appropriate course of action to enable higher density development of the site to cater for the projected increase in the local population whilst retaining some non-residential use of the site.

Parramatta Planning Proposal - 11 to 13 Aird Street, Parramatta

Environmental social economic impacts :

Given that the site is already developed for commercial use and predominantly hard surfaced, it will not generate any impacts on the natural environment.

The existing five storey commercial building will be demolished and the development is anticipated to result in a reduction in commercial floor space on the site. However, the site is not located in the commercial core of the CBD. Therefore the proposal will generate the economic benefit of strengthening the commercial core and supporting its viability. This is expected as a result of increasing the city centre population and therefore the size of the local workforce and the number of people within the primary trade area.

A Traffic and Parking Report has been prepared and Council's Traffic and Transport Team have raised no concerns, although there is currently no indication of the cumulative traffic impacts of various recent planning proposals within the CBD. This is being considered as part of Council's CBD Planning Strategy. It is recommended that consultation occur with Road and Maritime Services during the exhibition period.

Assessment Process

D

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Essential Energy Department of Edu Office of Environm Transport for NSW Fire and Rescue NS Transport for NSW Sydney Water	ent and Herita SW	ge		c
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(t	o) : No	50			
If Yes, reasons :					-
Identify any additional st	tudies, if required.		8		
If Other, provide reasons	S :				
Identify any internal con	sultations, if required	:	ii.		
No internal consultatio	n required				
Is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastruc	ture relevant to	this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					
ocuments			and the second		
Document File Name	×	τë.	DocumentType Na	ame	Is Public
Planning Proposal 11-1 covering letter, 11-13 A		•	Proposal Proposal Coverin	ng Letter	Yes Yes

CBD Height Study.pdf		Study	Yes
Heritage Statement.pdf Traffic and Parking Asso	essment Report.pdf	Study Study	Yes Yes
anning Team Recommendation			
Preparation of the planning	ng proposal supported at this st	age : Recommended with Condition	ıs
5.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial	Zones	
	2.3 Heritage Conservation	20103	
	3.1 Residential Zones		
	3.4 Integrating Land Use an	d Transport	
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils	342	
	7.1 Implementation of A Pla	n for Growing Sydney	
Additional Information :	DELEGATION OF PLAN MA	KING FUNCTIONS	
	Council has requested that	it exercise the Minister's plan making	ng functions for this
	planning proposal. This req	uest is supported.	
	RECOMMENDATION		
	RECOMMENDATION		
	It is recommended that the	Minister's delegate agree that any i	nconsistency with s.117
	Direction 4.1 Acid Sulphate	Soils is of minor significance.	
	Further it is second and	that the Dianaina Decrease areas	l cubicct to the following
	conditions:	that the Planning Proposal proceed	subject to the following
	1. Prior to exhibition, Coun	cil is to amend the planning propos	al as indicated
	below:	8	
	(a) "Figure 8: Proposed a	mendment to PCCLEP 2007 Height of	of Building Map" is
	to include street name		
		of Planning Proposal against s117	
		the site is affected by acid sulphate	e soils and
	of what class;	n of provisions (Part 2) to indicate t	hat the
	•••	s solely to amend Parramatta Local	
	Plan 2011.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•	is required under sections 56(2)(c)	
		Ind Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A A	
	(a) the planning proposal 28 days; and	must be made publicly available fo	or a minimum or
		authority must comply with the not	ice requirements
		planning proposals and the specifi	
		nade publicly available along with p	
	proposals as identified	l in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Prepa	aring LEPs
	(Department of Plannir	ng and Environment 2013).	
	3. Consultation is required	with the following public authorities	s under section
	56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:	J	
		ment and Heritage - Heritage Divisio	on;
	- Department of Educati	on and Communities	
×	- Transport for NSW		
	•	oads and Maritime Services	
·	- Fire and Rescue NSW		
	Each public authority is to	b be provided with a copy of the pla	nning proposal
		ng material, and given at least 21 da	
	on the proposal.	ي	
	8		
	4. A public hearing is not re	equired to be held into the matter by	any person or

÷

	Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	
Signature:	Horer
Printed Name:	<u>TDORAN</u> Date: <u>29/1/16</u>

